

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

` APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,352	02/04/2002	Srinivas Pothapragada	07575-033002	8494
26181	7590 07/08/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 3300 DAIN RAUSCHER PLAZA MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ORTIZ, BELIX M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	7
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004	· /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)		
	•	8,352	POTHAPRAGADA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Exam		Art Unit		
	/				
The MAILING DATE of this comm		M. Ortiz	2175		
eriod for Reply	umcadon appears or	the cover sheet with	The correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In remunication. (30) days, a reply within the a statutory period will apply a ply will, by statute, cause the safter the mailing date of the safter the s	o event, however, may a rep e statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTI e application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) 1	iled on				
2a) This action is FINAL .	· _ 				
3) Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		rs, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the prac		·	•		
Disposition of Claims			•		
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the ap	nlication				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	•	consideration			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rare withdrawn from	consideration.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election	on requirement.			
Application Papers		•			
·· _					
9) The specification is objected to by					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar					
Applicant may not request that any ob	•	•	` '		
11) The oath or declaration is objected		= :) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
•	to by the Examiner	. Note the attached	Office Action of John P 10-132.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•	under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
 Certified copies of the priori 	ty documents have	been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priori	-	•	•		
Copies of the certified copie	•		eceived in this National Stage		
application from the Internat	•	` ''			
* See the attached detailed Office act	ion for a list of the c	ertified copies not re	SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER		
.ttachment(s)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			mmary (PTO-413)		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 			Mail Date promal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .	UI PTU/38/08)	6) Other:	·		
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Sur	nmany	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 7		

Application/Control Number: 10/068,352

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

 Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,389,432. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Belix M.
 Ortiz whose telephone number is 703-305-7605. The examiner can normally be reached on moday-friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 703-305-3830. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 10/068,352

Art Unit: 2175

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

bmo

June 25, 2004.

SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER